



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/846,712	04/30/2001	Kursat Uvez	05306.P027	4919

7590 02/24/2006

Glenn Von Tersch  
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP  
Seventh Floor  
12400 Wilshire Boulevard  
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

EXAMINER

BULLOCK JR, LEWIS ALEXANDER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2195

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Response to Rule 312 Communication</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/846,712	<b>Applicant(s)</b> UVEZ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.	<b>Art Unit</b> 2195	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 12 January 2006 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

a) ☐ entered.

b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.


c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below.

e) ☒ entered in part. See explanation below.

*The amendments to claims 11, 12, 15 and 16 have been entered. Regarding claim 17, the change to computer-readable medium was made in the examiner's amendment and thus would not need to be entered. The further amendments regarding the associating of the (an) object to the network based application or non-network based application are not entered. See for instance claim 7. The use of the object associated with the network based application and the non-network based application would allow for a single instance of an object capable of being referenced by both applications and thereby associated with both applications. The current reading allows for each application to have their own object for referencing by stating an (the) object of a network based application or non-network based application. The amendment would broadening the scope of the claims. Therefore, only the amendments to claims 11, 12, 15 and 16 are entered. All others are denied.*

  
LEWIS A. BULLOCK, JR.  
PRIMARY EXAMINER